1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8	* * *		
9	THOMAS CURTIS W.,		Case No. 2:16-cv-01586-RFB-PAL
10		Plaintiff,	
11	v.		<u>ORDER</u>
12	FEDERAL BUREAU OF		
13	INVESTIGATIONS,		
14	-	Defendant.	
		Defendant.	

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 11] of the Honorable Peggy A. Leen, United States Magistrate Judge, entered June 21, 2017.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by July 5, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 11] is		
2	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.		
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff Thomas Curtis W.'s Amended Complaint		
4	(ECF No. 4) is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.		
5	The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Plaintiff and is		
6	instructed to enter judgment accordingly		
7	DATED A 0 2017		
8	DATED: August 9, 2017.		
9	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II		
10	United States District Judge		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

28